Don’t perform fusion surgery to treat patients with mechanical axial low back pain from multilevel spine degeneration in the absence of:

- leg pain with or without neurologic symptoms and/or signs of concordant neurologic compression
- structural pathology such as spondylolisthesis or deformity.

For over half a century back pain has been the most common reason for spinal fusion. Yet there is no unequivocal evidence that fusion is superior to comprehensive conservative treatment for treating back pain without focal structural pathology and concordant mechanical or neurological symptoms. It is often impossible to locate the precise source of the pain; in many cases the symptoms are multifactorial and can encompass elements such as centralized pain that exist outside the spine. The extreme heterogeneity of the low back pain population leads to unpredictable surgical results and consistently poor outcomes in those with pain from multilevel spine degeneration.

Don’t routinely image patients with low back pain regardless of the duration of symptoms unless:

- there are clinical reasons to suspect serious underlying pathology (i.e., red flags)
- imaging is necessary for the planning and/or execution of a particular evidenced-based therapeutic intervention on a specific spinal condition.

Unless the image has a direct bearing on the treatment decision it is not required. Spinal “abnormalities” in asymptomatic individuals are common and increase with age. For those with back dominant symptoms (i.e., axial back pain) there is an extremely high false positive rate; most of the findings have no correlation with the clinical picture. For the majority of low back complaints obtaining spinal imaging does not improve patient care but can lead to inappropriate interventions and does have a detrimental impact on patient outcomes. Red flags include cauda equina syndrome; severe or progressive neurologic deficits; suspected cancer; suspected infection; suspected fracture and suspected epidural abscess or hematoma.

Don’t use epidural steroid injections (ESI) for patients with axial low back pain who do not have leg dominant symptoms originating in the nerve roots.

Steroids are potent anti-inflammatory agents, but axial low back pain is not primarily an inflammatory condition and any inflammation that does exist generally cannot be accessed via the spinal canal. The outcomes of ESI for axial low back pain are poor compared to its use in radiculopathy due to disc herniation. Although serious adverse events are rare, catastrophic events can occur and any symptom relief from the injection typically lasts only for a matter of weeks. The inconsequential benefits of ESI for axial low back pain do not outweigh its risks, no matter how small they may be.

Don’t miss the opportunity to brace the skeletally immature patient with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) who has more than one year of growth remaining and a curve magnitude greater than 20 degrees.

Significant controversy still exists regarding the use of bracing in AIS patients at risk for curve progression and eventual surgery. A recent high-level study has convincingly shown that bracing impacts the natural history of AIS and, in those properly braced, significantly reduces the need for a subsequent operation. In light of the resulting decrease in the indications for surgical intervention, the bias against bracing should be reevaluated.

Don’t order peri-operative antibiotics beyond a 24-hour post-operative period for non-complicated instrumented cases in patients who are not at high risk for infection or wound contamination. Administration of a single pre-operative dose for spine cases without instrumentation is adequate.

Although a deep surgical site infection associated with spinal implants can be a devastating adverse event, the prolonged use of peri-operative antibiotics has not been shown to reduce the incidence. Their extended use in routine low risk cases has no proven evidence of benefit but increases the chance of creating resistant bacterial strains. A rational, evidence-based approach is required.
**Don't use an opioid analgesic medication as first-line treatment for acute, uncomplicated, mechanical, back-dominant pain.**

Over 90% of acute low back pain is a mechanical problem that is often self-limiting and can be controlled with physical treatment and non-narcotic medication. The most common entry point to prescription opioid addiction is through opioids prescribed for back pain. Adequate pain control using opioids is frequently not achieved and patients face the added risks of physical dependence and withdrawal hyperalgesia, which can lead to continued use.

**Don't treat post-operative back pain with opioid analgesic medication unless it is functionally directed and strictly time limited.**

Using post-operative opioid analgesics creates problems with constipation, nausea and dizziness while interfering with early mobilization and, in some patients, promoting long term use. It should be used only in a strictly limited manner and with well-defined parameters. Alternate pain management regimens offer improved pain control, enhanced rehabilitation and fewer complications.

**Don't use opioid analgesic medication in the ongoing treatment of chronic, non-malignant back pain.**

There is no clear evidence for the benefits of long-term opioid medication on pain, function or quality of life. There is a clear correlation with a range of adverse effects including falls, fractures, testosterone suppression, hyperalgesia and depression. It increases the risk of dependence, addiction and overdose. Long-term use either before or following spine surgery is associated with increased medical costs and a reduced rate of return to work.
How the list was created

The Canadian Spine Society (CSS) established its Choosing Wisely Canada Top 5 recommendations by compiling a committee of experts who took suggestions from the general membership and created a seven item preliminary statement. This was circulated to all the CSS members by email and they were asked to vote their order of preference and suggestions for wording as well as to add any topic they believed should be included. The amended list was recirculated, revised and sent to the membership for a third time. This list is the final agreed result.

Recommendations 6-8:
The Canadian Spine Society conducted a survey of its membership, which includes both academic and private neurosurgical and orthopaedic spine surgeons, on the use of opioids from back pain. The members were asked to specify both the nature of the back problem and the role for opioid medication.
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