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1. Don't initiate long-term maintenance inhalers in stable patients with suspected COPD if they have not had confirmation of post-bronchodilator airflow obstruction with spirometry.

A diagnosis of COPD should be considered in any patient who has dyspnea, chronic cough, and/or sputum production and an appropriate history of exposure to noxious stimuli. However, not all patients with these symptoms have COPD, and a spirometry demonstrating a post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in one second to forced vital capacity (FEV1/FVC) ratio < 70% (or less than the lower limit of normal, if available) is required to make a definitive diagnosis. Starting maintenance inhalers without first objectively diagnosing COPD results in unnecessary treatment in those patients who do not actually have the disease. In turn, this exposes these patients to both the side-effects and the cost of these medications, and might delay the appropriate diagnosis.

2. Don't perform CT screening for lung cancer among patients at low risk for lung cancer.

CT scan screening has no proven benefit in patients who are not at high risk for lung cancer, regardless of age, smoking history or other risk factors. Low dose chest CT screening has been found to reduce lung cancer mortality in a well-defined population of patients at high risk for lung cancer, defined by age 55-74, at least a 30-pack year history of tobacco use, and smoking within the last 15 years. However, screening is also associated with several harms, including false-negative and false-positive results, incidental findings, overdiagnosis (detecting indolent and clinically insignificant tumors that would not have been detected in the patient's lifetime without screening), and cumulative exposure to radiation (which can cause cancer). Screening also leads to unnecessary anxiety and invasive procedures, which carry their own complications. Accordingly, it should not be used in patients who do not meet these strict criteria, nor in patients with a health problem that substantially limits life expectancy or the ability or willingness to have curative therapy.

3. Don't perform chest computed tomography (CT angiography) or ventilation-perfusion scanning to evaluate for possible pulmonary embolism in patients with a low clinical probability and negative results of a highly sensitive D-dimer assay.

The majority of adults with chest pain and/or dyspnea do not have a pulmonary embolism (PE). There is strong evidence that in patients with low pre-test probability as determined by a clinical prediction rule (e.g., Wells score), a negative highly sensitive D-dimer assay effectively excludes clinically important PE. Furthermore, there are potential harms to performing CT pulmonary angiography (CTPA) or ventilation-perfusion (V/Q) scanning, including exposure to ionizing radiation, adverse events due to the administration of intravenous contrast, and identification of clinically insignificant PE leading to inappropriate anticoagulation. However, physicians should exercise clinical judgement in populations in whom this two-step algorithm has not been validated (e.g., pregnant patients).

4. Don't treat adult cough with antibiotics even if it lasts more than 1 week, unless bacterial pneumonia is suspected (mean viral cough duration is 18 days).

The majority of adults with a short duration of cough from an acute respiratory tract infection have a viral rather than a bacterial infection. Patients often underestimate the typical cough duration from an infectious illness, and when cough does not resolve within their expected time frame, may request antibiotics. The average duration of cough (not treated with antibiotics) is around 18 days, though patients only expect to cough for 5 to 7 days. Use of immediate or delayed antibiotics does not change clinical outcomes compared to no antibiotics in these situations. On the other hand, the harms of over-prescribing antibiotics include medication costs, adverse reactions, and the possibility of inducing bacterial resistance to antibiotics. Physicians should educate patients about the expected duration of cough and the consequences of inappropriate antibiotic use in acute respiratory tract infections.
Don't continue medications for asthma (e.g., inhalers, leukotriene receptor antagonists, or other) in individuals who have not had a clear clinical benefit or confirmation of reversible airflow limitation with spirometry or peak flow testing, and when non-diagnostic, a positive methacholine or exercise challenge test, provided timely access to testing allows it.

Although international guidelines uniformly recommend objective testing to establish an asthma diagnosis, this diagnosis is often made clinically and asthma medications are often initiated on that clinical basis. However, physical exam findings and symptoms such as cough, wheeze, and/or dyspnea can be caused by other conditions. As a result, up to one third of patients who have been diagnosed with asthma do not have evidence of asthma when objectively tested with pulmonary function tests. A false clinical diagnosis of asthma may delay diagnosis of the actual underlying condition, which may include serious cardiorespiratory conditions. Furthermore, patients with a false diagnosis of asthma who are started on asthma medications are unnecessarily exposed to both the side-effects and the costs of these medications. For individuals 6 years of age and older who are able to reliably perform pulmonary function testing, an abnormal spirometry (or challenge test) can be helpful for confirming a diagnosis of asthma, however spirometry can also be falsely negative, especially in individuals with episodic symptoms. Objective testing for asthma is not broadly available for children less than 6 years old and, in this age group, the diagnosis of asthma should be made clinically. Following the global pandemic, availability of diagnostic testing is limited in many regions, and treatment can be initiated without confirmatory testing when diagnostic testing is not available in a timely manner. Individuals should be clinically reassessed, and the diagnosis should be confirmed with pulmonary function testing as soon as such testing is available. Consideration should strongly be given to stopping asthma therapy if the individual has not had a severe exacerbation in the last year, testing fails to confirm the diagnosis, and/or clear benefit is not observed. Where safe and possible, individuals should stop asthma controller therapy for 4-8 weeks to optimize the sensitivity of lung function testing for asthma diagnosis. It is noted that measurement of lung volumes and diffusing capacity (DLCO) are not needed for a diagnosis of asthma.

Don't use antibiotics for acute asthma exacerbations without clear signs of bacterial infection.

Asthma exacerbations are characterized by decreased expiratory airflow as well as increased shortness of breath, cough, wheezing, chest tightness, or a combination of these symptoms. When such an attack is precipitated by an infection, it is much more likely to be viral than bacterial. The role of bacterial infection is often overestimated; however antibiotics should be reserved for relatively rare cases in which there is strong evidence of a bacterial infection, such as pneumonia or bacterial sinusitis. Potential harms of unnecessary antibiotic treatment include medication costs, side-effects (including a risk of allergy), and emergence of bacterial resistance.

Don't delay conversations about wishes and goals with patients who have serious or progressive chronic respiratory illness, such as COPD, IPF, PH, or CF, or advanced neuromuscular disease affecting the respiratory system (e.g. Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy, ALS, etc.). Having and documenting these conversations can help to avoid unwanted and/or unnecessary and potentially harmful interventions.

Supporting patients with serious or progressive respiratory illness to identify and document their values and treatment wishes is an important, but often neglected, intervention. While these patients often experience complex disease trajectories that make accurate prognostication challenging, these discussions can ensure future care is aligned with patients’ preferences.
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