

# Rheumatology

## Nine Things Physicians and Patients Should Question

by

Canadian Rheumatology Association

Last updated: September 2022



### 1 **Don't order ANA as a screening test in patients without specific signs or symptoms of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) or another connective tissue disease (CTD).**

ANA testing should not be used to screen subjects without specific symptoms (e.g., photosensitivity, malar rash, symmetrical polyarthritis, etc.) or without a clinical evaluation that may lead to a presumptive diagnosis of SLE or other CTD, since ANA reactivity is present in many non-rheumatic conditions and even in "healthy" control subjects (up to 20%). In a patient with low pre-test probability for ANA-associated rheumatic disease, positive ANA results can be misleading and may precipitate further unnecessary testing, erroneous diagnosis or even inappropriate therapy.

### 2 **Don't order an HLA-B27 unless spondyloarthritis is suspected based on specific signs or symptoms.**

HLA-B27 testing is not useful as a single diagnostic test in a patient with low back pain without further spondyloarthropathy (SpA) signs or symptoms (e.g., inflammatory back pain  $\geq 3$  months duration with age of onset  $< 45$  years, peripheral synovitis, enthesitis, dactylitis, psoriasis or uveitis) because the diagnosis of spondyloarthropathy in these patients is of low probability. If HLA-B27 is used, at least two SpA signs or symptoms, or the presence of positive imaging findings, need to be present to classify a patient as having axial SpA. There is no clinical utility to ordering an HLA-B27 in the absence of positive imaging or the minimally required SpA signs or symptoms.

### 3 **Don't repeat dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) scans more often than every 2 years.**

The use of repeat DEXA scans at intervals of every 2 years is appropriate in most clinical settings, and is supported by several current osteoporosis guidelines. Because of limitations in the precision of testing, a minimum of 2 years may be needed to reliably measure a change in BMD. If bone mineral densities are stable and/or individuals are at low risk of fracture, then less frequent monitoring up to an interval of 5-10 years can be considered. Shorter or longer intervals between repeat DEXA scans may be appropriate based on expected rate of change in bone mineral density and fracture risk.

### 4 **Don't prescribe bisphosphonates for patients at low risk of fracture.**

There is no convincing evidence that anti-osteoporotic therapy in patients with osteopenia alone reduces fracture risk. The 2008 Cochrane Reviews for three bisphosphonates (Alendronate, Etidronate, Risedronate) found no statistically significant reductions for primary prevention of fracture in postmenopausal women. Fracture risk is determined using either the Canadian Association of Radiologists and Osteoporosis Canada risk assessment tool (CAROC) or FRAX<sup>®</sup>, a World Health Organization fracture risk assessment tool. Both are available as online calculators of fracture risk. Given the lack of proven efficacy, widespread use of bisphosphonates in patients at low risk of fracture is not currently recommended.

### 5 **Don't perform whole body bone scans (e.g., scintigraphy) for diagnostic screening for peripheral and axial arthritis in adults.**

The diagnosis of peripheral and axial inflammatory arthritis can usually be made on the basis of an appropriate history, physical exam and basic investigations. Whole body bone scans, such as the Tc-99m MDP scintigraphy, lack specificity to diagnose inflammatory polyarthritis or spondyloarthritis and have limited clinical utility. This approach is cost-effective and reduces radiation exposure.

**6 Don't prescribe opioids for management of chronic rheumatic disease before optimizing the use of non-opioid approaches to pain management.**

Opioids in chronic non-cancer pain are associated with substantial risks. Optimize non-opioid pharmacotherapy and non-pharmacologic therapy. Opioids are not superior to non-opioid medications for pain-related function over 12 months in moderate to severe hip or knee osteoarthritis, or mechanical back pain. Opioids should only be prescribed by physicians skilled in their use.

**7 Don't delay or avoid palliative symptom management and advance care planning for a patient with life-limiting rheumatic disease because they are pursuing disease-directed treatment.**

A palliative approach to care alongside disease-specific treatment should be part of the continuum of care for patients with advanced rheumatic disease toward the end of life. This approach aims to improve quality of life for patients with life-limiting illnesses, through the prevention and relief of suffering, the control of symptoms, and the management of physical, psychosocial and spiritual distress. Such an approach is supported by a growing body of evidence that demonstrates improved patient satisfaction with care, decreased symptom burden and, in some cases, better survival, when a palliative approach to care is integrated early in a patient's disease trajectory.

**8 Do not order labs for drug toxicity monitoring (i.e., CBC, liver enzymes, creatinine) more often than every 8-12 weeks for patients on a stable dose of non-biologic disease monitoring anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs), in patients without comorbidities or lab abnormalities.**

Patients on stable doses of non-biologic DMARDs (e.g., methotrexate, sulfasalazine) without specific comorbidities (e.g., obesity, diabetes mellitus, renal disease, liver disease, alcohol use, concomitant use of hepatotoxic or myelosuppressive medications) are at a low overall risk of toxicity. More frequent blood draws pose an unnecessary burden to patients. Patients new to treatment, on escalating doses, or with abnormal baseline labs typically require more frequent monitoring.

**9 Don't prescribe opioids for management of chronic rheumatic disease before optimizing the use of non-opioid approaches to pain management..**

Avoid ordering these autoantibodies in patients with arthralgia (joint pain) but who do not meet the CSA criteria or have arthritis (>one swollen joint) on physical exam. EULAR defines CSA at risk for developing Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) as having 3 or more parameters including new joint symptoms <1 year, symptoms located in metacarpophalangeal (MCP) joints, morning stiffness >60 min, most severe symptoms in the morning, 1st degree relative with RA, and difficulty making a fist and positive MCP squeeze test on physical exam. Even in CSA with positive RF and ACPA, more than 30%-60% of patients will not develop RA over the next two years. Most musculoskeletal pain causing global disability is not related to rheumatoid arthritis. Inappropriate testing of RF serology in patients with low likelihood of RA is associated with low positive predictive value (PPV) and increased cost.

---

## How the list was created

### Recommendations 1 – 5

The Canadian Rheumatology Association (CRA) established its Choosing Wisely Canada Top 5 recommendations using a multistage process combining consensus methodology and literature reviews. A steering committee solicited a group of practicing rheumatologists from across the country from diverse clinical settings and an allied health professional to form the CRA Choosing Wisely Canada committee. This group generated candidate recommendations using the Delphi method. Recommendations with high content agreement and perceived prevalence advanced to a survey of CRA members. CRA members ranked these top items based on content agreement, impact and item ranking. A methodology subcommittee discussed the items in light of their relevance to rheumatology, potential impact on patients and the member survey results. The Top 5 candidate items were selected to advance for literature review. The list was approved by the CRA Board of Directors and has been reviewed by a group of patient collaborators with rheumatic diseases. Patient collaborators also worked with the CRA to ensure the CRA Choosing Wisely Canada statements were translated into lay-language and made accessible to patients and the public.

### Recommendations 6 & 7

Recommendation 6 was approved by the CRA board in September, 2019. The statement was created by the CRA Choosing Wisely subcommittee and was selected for having good evidence and for being frequently encountered by rheumatologists. The statement was then adjudicated by the CRA Guidelines committee and the CRA Board, and the statement was further modified for language by the group.

Recommendation 7 was approved by the CRA board in February, 2021. This statement was created by the CRA Choosing Wisely subcommittee in response to the Choosing Wisely Canada campaign about serious illness conversations entitled "Time to Talk." The subcommittee liaised with a palliative care doctor on the wording of the statement, given the scarcity of evidence in this area to date in rheumatology.

### Recommendations 8 & 9

Recommendations 8 and 9 were approved by the CRA board in June, 2022. These items were identified from the paediatric rheumatology Choosing Wisely list as being frequently encountered problems by adult rheumatologists. A working group of the CRA Choosing Wisely subcommittee was formed, and they completed an updated literature review of the topic and developed their own statement. The statement was then adjudicated by the CRA Choosing Wisely subcommittee, the CRA Quality Care committee, and then the CRA Board of Directors. Modifications were made as needed.

---

## Sources

- 1 BC Guidelines. [Antinuclear antibody \(ANA\) testing protocol](#) [Internet]. 2013 Jun [cited 2017 May 5].  
Kavanaugh A, et al. Guidelines for clinical use of the antinuclear antibody test and tests for specific autoantibodies to nuclear antigens. American College of Pathologists. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2000 Jan;124(1):71-81. PMID: [10629135](#).  
Solomon DH, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for the use of immunologic tests: Antinuclear antibody testing. Arthritis Rheum. 2002 Aug;47(4):434-44. PMID: [12209492](#).  
Tozzoli R, et al. Guidelines for the laboratory use of autoantibody tests in the diagnosis and monitoring of autoimmune rheumatic diseases. Am J Clin Pathol. 2002 Feb;117(2):316-24. PMID: [11863229](#).
- 2 Rostom S, et al. New tools for diagnosing spondyloarthropathy. Joint Bone Spine. 2010 Mar;77(2):108-14. PMID: [20153677](#).  
Rudwaleit M, et al. How to diagnose axial spondyloarthritis early. Ann Rheum Dis. 2004 May;63(5):535-43. PMID: [15082484](#).  
Rudwaleit M, et al. The development of assessment of SpondyloArthritis international society classification criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part II): Validation and final selection. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009 Jun;68(6):777-83. PMID: [19297344](#).  
Sidiropoulos PI, et al. Evidence-based recommendations for the management of ankylosing spondylitis: Systematic literature search of the 3E initiative in rheumatology involving a broad panel of experts and practising rheumatologists. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2008 Mar;47(3):355-61. PMID: [18276738](#).
- 3 Papaioannou A, et al. 2010 clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of osteoporosis in Canada: Summary. CMAJ. 2010 Nov 23;182(17):1864-73. PMID: [20940232](#).  
Schousboe JT, et al. Executive summary of the 2013 international society for clinical densitometry position development conference on bone densitometry. J Clin Densitom. 2013 Oct-Dec;16(4):455-66. PMID: [24183638](#).  
U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for osteoporosis: U.S. preventive services task force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med. 2011 Mar 1;154(5):356-64. PMID: [21242341](#).
- 4 FRAX®. [WHO fracture risk assessment tool](#) [Internet]. 2011 Jun [cited 2017 May 5].  
Osteoporosis Canada. [Assessment of 10-year fracture risk - women and men](#) [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2017 May 5].  
Roux C. Osteopenia: Is it a problem?. Int J Clin Rheumatol. 2009 Dec;4(6):651-5.  
Wells GA, et al. Alendronate for the primary and secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008 Jan 23;(1):CD001155. PMID: [18253985](#).  
Wells GA, et al. Etidronate for the primary and secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008 Jan 23;(1):CD003376. PMID: [18254018](#).  
Wells G, et al. Risedronate for the primary and secondary prevention of osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2008 Jan 23;(1):CD004523. PMID: [18254053](#).
- 5 Fisher BA, et al. Do tc-99m-diphosphonate bone scans have any place in the investigation of polyarthralgia? Rheumatology (Oxford). 2007 Jun;46(6):1036-7. PMID: [17449485](#).  
Picano E, et al. Unnecessary radiation exposure from medical imaging in the rheumatology patient. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2011 Sep;50(9):1537-9. PMID: [21183451](#).  
Song IH, et al. The diagnostic value of scintigraphy in assessing sacroiliitis in ankylosing spondylitis: A systematic literature research. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008 Nov;67(11):1535-40. PMID: [18230629](#).  
Whallett A, et al. Isotope bone scans: An assessment of their diagnostic use in polyarticular pain of uncertain origin. Ann Rheum Dis. 2003 Aug;62(8):784-5. PMID: [12860741](#). PMID: [12860741](#).
- 6 Busse JW, et al. Guideline for opioid therapy and chronic noncancer pain. CMAJ. 2017 May 8;189(18):E659-E666. PMID: [28483845](#).  
Krebs EE, et al. Effect of Opioid vs Nonopioid Medications on Pain-Related Function in Patients with Chronic Back Pain or Hip or Knee Osteoarthritis Pain: The SPACE Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2018; 319(9):872–882. PMID: [29509867](#).
- 7 Crosby V, Wilcock A. End-of-life care in rheumatology: room for improvement. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2011 Jul;50(7):1187-8. PMID: [21097447](#).  
Karpoff M, et al. 2019, November. Palliative Care Curriculum in Rheumatology: Teaching Serious Illness Conversations. Paper presented at the meeting of American College of Rheumatology, USA. Abstract retrieved from <https://acrabstracts.org/meetings/2019-acr-arp-annual-meeting/>  
Saltman A. Palliative Care for the Rheumatologist: When Does the End Begin...And Why Does It Matter? CRAJ. 2019;29(2). [http://www.craj.ca/archives/2019/English/Summer/pdf/CRAJ\\_Summer\\_2019\\_Palliative\\_Care\\_for\\_the\\_Rheumatologist.pdf](http://www.craj.ca/archives/2019/English/Summer/pdf/CRAJ_Summer_2019_Palliative_Care_for_the_Rheumatologist.pdf)  
Saltman A, et al. (2020, November). Rheumatologists' Attitudes Toward Palliative Care and Medical Assistance in Dying. [Poster presentation]. American College of Rheumatology, Online (ACR Convergence). PDF poster: <https://choosingwiselycanada.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/Saltman-ACR-poster-2020-1.pdf>  
Simon S, Schwarz-Eywill M, Bausewein C. Palliative care in rheumatic diseases: a first approach. J Palliat Care. Winter 2008;24(4):270-3. PMID: [19227019](#).

- 8 Hideto Kameda, Takao Fujii, Ayako Nakajima, Ryuji Koike, Akira Sagawa, Katsuaki Kanbe, Tetsuya Tomita, Masayoshi Harigai, Yasuo Suzuki & Japan College of Rheumatology subcommittee on the guideline for the use of methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (2019) Japan College of Rheumatology guideline for the use of methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, *Modern Rheumatology*, 29:1, 31-40, [PMID: 29718746](#).  
Jo Ledingham, Nicola Gullick, Katherine Irving, Rachel Gorodkin, Melissa Aris, Jean Burke, Patrick Gordon, Dimitrios Christidis, Sarah Galloway, Eranga Hayes, Andrew Jeffries, Scott Mercer, Janice Mooney, Sander van Leuven, James Galloway, on behalf of the BSR and BHPR Standards, Guidelines and Audit Working Group, BSR and BHPR guideline for the prescription and monitoring of non-biologic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, *Rheumatology*, Volume 56, Issue 6, June 2017, Pages 865–868, <https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kew479>, [PMID: 28339817](#).  
Nakafero G, Grainge MJ, Card T, Mallen CD, Zhang W, Doherty M, Taal MW, Aithal GP, Abhishek A. What is the incidence of methotrexate or leflunomide discontinuation related to cytopenia, liver enzyme elevation or kidney function decline? *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2021 Dec 1;60(12):5785-5794. [PMID: 33725120](#).  
Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges SL Jr et al. 2015 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2016 Jan;68(1):1-26. doi: 10.1002/art.39480. Epub 2015 Nov 6. [PMID: 26545940](#).  
Yazici, Y et al. "Long term safety of methotrexate in routine clinical care: discontinuation is unusual and rarely the result of laboratory abnormalities." *Annals of the rheumatic diseases* vol. 64,2 (2005): 207-11. doi:10.1136/ard.2004.023408. [PMID: 15208176](#).
- 9 Ahrari A, Barrett SS, Basharat P, Rohekar S, Pope JE: Appropriateness of laboratory tests in the diagnosis of inflammatory rheumatic diseases among patients newly referred to rheumatologists. *Joint Bone Spine* 2020, 87(6):588-595. [PMID: 32522598](#).  
Bos WH, Wolbink GJ, Boers M, Tijhuis GJ, de Vries N, van der Horst-Bruinsma IE, Tak PP, van de Stadt RJ, van der Laken CJ, Dijkmans BA et al: Arthritis development in patients with arthralgia is strongly associated with anti-citrullinated protein antibody status: a prospective cohort study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010, 69(3):490-494. [PMID: 19363023](#).  
Briggs AM, Woolf AD, Dreinhöfer K, Homb N, Hoy DG, Kopansky-Giles D, Åkesson K, March L: Reducing the global burden of musculoskeletal conditions. *Bull World Health Organ* 2018, 96(5):366-368. [PMID: 29875522](#).  
Ruta S, Prado ES, Chichande JT, Ruta A, Salvatori F, Magri S, Salinas RG: EULAR definition of "arthralgia suspicious for progression to rheumatoid arthritis" in a large cohort of patients included in a program for rapid diagnosis: role of auto-antibodies and ultrasound. *Clinical Rheumatology* 2020, 39(5):1493-1499. [PMID: 31933033](#).  
Ten Brinck RM, van Steenberg HW, van Delft MAM, Verheul MK, Toes REM, Trouw LA, van der Helm-van Mil AHM: The risk of individual autoantibodies, autoantibody combinations and levels for arthritis development in clinically suspect arthralgia. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2017, 56(12):2145-2153. [PMID: 28968865](#).

---

### About The Canadian Rheumatology Association

The Canadian Rheumatology Association (CRA) is a proud partner of the Choosing Wisely Canada campaign. Made up of over 500 members, including just over 400 rheumatologists, the mission of the CRA is to promote the pursuit of excellence in arthritis care, education and research. The CRA strives to provide the best services and support to its membership to provide the best quality of care possible to patients. This includes an amazing lineup of topics and speakers for the Annual Scientific Meeting, a website full of information, programs to attract more medical students into rheumatology, awards to recognize its members, guidelines development, research funding opportunities and excellent working partnerships with other organizations.




---

### About Choosing Wisely Canada

Choosing Wisely Canada is the national voice for reducing unnecessary tests and treatments in health care. One of its important functions is to help clinicians and patients engage in conversations that lead to smart and effective care choices.

🌐 [ChoosingWiselyCanada.org](http://ChoosingWiselyCanada.org) | ✉ [info@ChoosingWiselyCanada.org](mailto:info@ChoosingWiselyCanada.org) | 🐦 [@ChooseWiselyCA](https://twitter.com/ChooseWiselyCA) | 📺 [/ChoosingWiselyCanada](https://www.facebook.com/ChoosingWiselyCanada)